## Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 00623 061739Z

72

**ACTION EUR-25** 

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 ACDA-19 AEC-11 SS-20 CIAE-00 PM-07

INR-10 L-03 NEA-11 NSAE-00 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SPC-03

USIA-15 TRSE-00 SAM-01 SAJ-01 NSC-10 DRC-01 /144 W

R 061605Z FEB 74
FM USMISSION NATO
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 3921
SECDEF WASHDC
INFO USCINCEUR VAIHINGEN
USNMR SHAPE
USLOSACLANT
CINCLANT

SECRETUSNATO 0623

E.O. 11652: GDS-12/31/82 TAGS: PFOR, NATO

SUBJECT: NPG STUDY ON WARSAW PACT STRATEGY AND DOCTRINE

REF: USNATO 0029

- 1. FRG GENERAL COMMENTS ON THE SECOND DRAFT OF THE NPG STUDY OF WARSAW PACT STRATEGY AND DOCTRINE (SEE REFTEL) ARE PROVIDED IN PARA. 2 BELOW. FRG SPECIFIC COMMENTS POUCHED TO STATE AND DEFENSE. DISPOSITION OF UK, NETHERLANDS AND CANADIAN COMMENTS DESCRIBED SEPTEL.
- 2. BEGIN TEXT OF FRG GENERAL COMMENTS:
- (A) THIS SECOND DRAFT OF THE STUDY IS IN OUR OPINION A (STILL) VOLUMINOUS BUT WELL BALANCED AND USEFUL TREATMENT OF THE SUBJECT.

BY DETAILED DISCUSSION OF PRO'S AND CON'S (PART III) PARTIALLY BY QUESTIONNING CURRENT INTELLIGENCE ASSESSMENTS ALL PROBLEMS SEEM TO HAVE BEEN EVALUATED IN A SATISFACTORY
SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 00623 061739Z

MANNER.

(B) VERY IMPORTANT IS IN OUR VIEW THAT THE STUDY ADOPTED THE MC 161/73 STATEMENT THAT THERE IS IN FACT NO DOCTRINE OF

## GRADUATED NUCLEAR RESPONSE BY WP.

"IF NATO WERE TO USE NUCLEAR WEAPONS THE WP CAN BE EXPECTED TO RETALIATE ON A WIDE SCALE AS THE SOVIETS DO NOT SUBSCRIBE TO A FORMAL STRATEGY OF GRADUATED NUCLEAR RESPONSE."

OUR ASSESSMENT AGREES TO THIS STATEMENT. AS FAR AS WE KNOW, CONSIDERATIONS ON THE EMPLOYMENT OF TACTICAL NUCLEAR WEAPONS WILL BE GOVERNED BY MILITARY VIEW POINTS. ALL SOURCES (PUBLICATIONS AS WELL AS EXERCISES) MENTION AS EQUVALENT FOR NATIO ESCALATION A MASSIVE RETALIATION. BUT EVEN A MASSIVE RETALIATION STILL ALLOWS FOR A CERTAIN VARIETY IN NUMBER OF WEAPONS, TYPE OF TARGETS, YIELDS AND, IN PARTICULAR, GEOGRAPHICAL RESTRAINTS OR A COMBINATION OF ALL ABOVE MENTIONED FACTORS.

THERE ARE NO INDICATIONS FOR A PURELY POLITICAL OR DEMONSTRATIVE USE OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS BY WARSAW PACT. WE APPRECIATE THE CONCLUSION MADE AT SEVERAL PARTS OF THE STUDY THAT THERE IS A CERTAIN TENDENCY FOR RESTRAINTS FOR THE EMPLOYMENT OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS, BUT THERE IS NO POSSIBILITY TO ASSESS OR EVALUATE THE INFLUENCE OF SUCH RESTRAINTS ON THE DECISIONS TO BE MADE IN CASE OF WAR.

(C) AS MENTIONED IN PART IV, IN THE MID 60'S USSR BECAME MORE FLEXIBLE IN THEIR STRATEGIC THINKING AS FAR AS EMPLOYMENT OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS IS CONCERNED, WHICH HAD CONSIDERABLE INFLUENCE ON THE STRUCTURE OF THE ARMED FORCES. THE GENERAL IDEA SEEMED TO BE TO DEVELOP WELL BALANCED FORCES CABABLE TO FIGHT UNDER ALL CONDITIONS, PURELY CONVENTIONALLY AS WELL AS GENERAL NUCLEAR WAR.

BUT IT IS IMPOSSIBLE TO CONCLUDE THAT IN CASE OF A CONFLICT THE ONE OR THE OTHER TYPE OF WAR IS MORE LIKELY THAN THE OTHER. IT CAN BE ASSUMED THAT USSR, IF REALLY WILLING TO GO TO WAR, WILL TRY TO AVOID THE USE OF NUCLEAR SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 00623 061739Z

WEAPONS, BUT ON THE OTHER HAND ONCE THE DECISION IS MADE, THEN THEY HAVE AUTOMATICALLY ACCEPTED THE RISK OF THE EMPLOYMENT OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS.

(D) AS MENTIONED DURING THE NOVEMBER SESSION, WE RECOMMEND AGAIN TO CONSIDER THE PREPARATION OF AN "EXECUTIVE VERSION" OF THE STUDY OR A SUMMARY OF THE SUMMARIES ALREADY AMDE AT THE END OF EACH PART. END TEXT. RUMSFELD

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 11 JUN 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 06 FEB 1974 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: garlanwa
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974ATO00623

Document Number: 1974ATO00623 Document Source: ADS Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: 11652 GDS-12/31/82

Errors: n/a Film Number: n/a From: NATO

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740267/abbrytbk.tel Line Count: 106 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE

Office: n/a

**Original Classification: SECRET** Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 2

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: USNATO 0029 Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: garlanwa

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 09 APR 2002

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <09 APR 2002 by kelleyw0>; APPROVED <27-Aug-2002 by garlanwa>

**Review Markings:** 

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: NPG STUDY ON WARSAW PACT STRATEGY AND DOCTRINE

TAGS: PFOR, NATO

To: STATE

SECDEF INFO USCINCEUR VAIHINGEN USNMR SHAPE

USLOSACLANT CINCLANT

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005